

**From:** Waye, Don  
**To:** Wu, Jennifer  
**Sent:** 9/30/2014 12:55:05 PM  
**Subject:** RE: Oregon CZARA - Strengthening the New Dvpt MM Rationale

Sounds good. Asking about 2 mid-sized cities may be less overwhelming than asking broader questions.

**From:** Wu, Jennifer  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 30, 2014 12:50 PM  
**To:** Waye, Don  
**Subject:** RE: Oregon CZARA - Strengthening the New Dvpt MM Rationale

Let me resend to Gene and the TMDL basin coordinators for Ashland and Roseburg to see if they can give a clearer response.

**From:** Waye, Don  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 30, 2014 9:25 AM  
**To:** Wu, Jennifer  
**Cc:** Goo, Robert; Carlin, Jayne; Henning, Alan; [allison.castellan@noaa.gov](mailto:allison.castellan@noaa.gov)  
**Subject:** Oregon CZARA - Strengthening the New Dvpt MM Rationale

Jenny,

## Ex. 5 - Deliberative

See highlighted rows attached.

Don

**From:** Wu, Jennifer  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 30, 2014 12:11 PM  
**To:** Waye, Don; Henning, Alan; [allison.castellan@noaa.gov](mailto:allison.castellan@noaa.gov); Carlin, Jayne  
**Subject:** RE: Basic Question Regarding the Additional MM for Pesticides

## Ex. 5 - Deliberative

I don't know how it works to combine management measures

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

Lastly, for New Development, I still haven't gotten in writing a response from Gene, so will write him again. Sorry for holding back your New Development Rationale (my fault, guys, so don't blame Don!).

**From:** Waye, Don  
**Sent:** Monday, September 29, 2014 12:11 PM  
**To:** Wu, Jennifer; Henning, Alan; [allison.castellan@noaa.gov](mailto:allison.castellan@noaa.gov); Carlin, Jayne  
**Subject:** Basic Question Regarding the Additional MM for Pesticides

Jenny, others,

Jenny – Great work on the pesticides paper. As you were walking us through it on the call today, I kept thinking of one really basic question that kept nagging at me. Hopefully, it has already been addressed and if so, I just need a refresher. Would the Additional MM for Pesticides still be needed if Oregon had adequate riparian protections along Type N streams, which is what our Additional MM for “protection of medium, small, and non-fish bearing streams, including intermittent streams” (from 1998 findings language) calls for? That is, if Oregon was to establish protections for Type N streams to keep out sediment and prevent changes in temperature, would it need to do anything more to demonstrate that it has met the Additional MMs for Pesticides?

Said another way, do we even need the Additional MMs for Pesticides if we hold Oregon accountable for protection of Type N streams, without specifically mentioning protection from pesticide applications?

Don Waye  
U.S. EPA - Nonpoint Source Control Branch  
Phone: 202-566-1170